

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/909,229	CZEKAJ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Sudhanshu C. Pathak.

(2) Sanders Hillis.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 November 2005

**Time:** morning

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

Yes

**Claims discussed:**

1

**Prior art documents discussed:**

No


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Bascially, a better understanding of the invention was discussed. The first sample rate is essentially for example a sample rate for sampling an analog source information i.e. A/D sampling rate and the second rate is the network clocking rate. This provides a better understanding of the invention implemented in a synchronous network..